

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL

1201 NORTH MARKET STREET
P.O. Box 1347
WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19899-1347

302 658 9200
302 658 3989 FAX

KAREN JACOBS LOUDEN
(302) 351-9227
(302) 425-4681 FAX
klouden@mnat.com

September 7, 2007

BY E-FILING

The Honorable Mary Pat Thyng
United States District Court
844 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

Re: BigBand Networks, Inc. v. Imagine Communications, Inc.
C.A. No. 07-351 (***)

Dear Magistrate Judge Thyng:

We enclose for the Court's consideration a proposed Scheduling Order in anticipation of the Scheduling Conference set for Wednesday, September 12, 2007 at 11:30 a.m.

Respectfully,

/s/ Karen Jacobs Louden (#2881)

Karen Jacobs Louden

/cbh

cc: Clerk of the Court (by hand, w/enc.)
Peter P. Chen, Esq. (by email, w/enc.)
Mary B. Matterer, Esq. (by email, w/enc.)
John Benassi, Esq. (by email, w/enc.)

1229440

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

BIGBAND NETWORKS, INC.,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) C.A. No. 07-351 (***)
IMAGINE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,)
Defendant.)

SCHEDULING ORDER

This ____ day of _____, 200_____, the Court having conducted an initial Rule 16 scheduling and planning conference pursuant to Local Rule 16.2(a) on September 12, 2007, and the parties having determined after discussion that the matter cannot be resolved at this juncture by settlement, voluntary mediation, or binding arbitration;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures

The parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) within **10 days** of the date of this Order.

2. Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings

All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings shall be filed on or before **February 29, 2008**.

3. Discovery

a. Limitations on Discovery

Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, each side is limited to a total of **105 hours** of taking non-expert testimony by deposition upon oral examination.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, there shall be no limitation upon the permissible number of document requests, requests for admissions, and depositions (subject to the time limit stated above), but no party shall propound more than 50 interrogatories to the other party.

b. Discovery Cut Off

All fact discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before **May 16, 2008**. The Court encourages the parties to serve and respond to contention interrogatories early in the case.

c. Location of Depositions

Any party or representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this district court must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this district. Exceptions to this general rule may be made by order of the Court. A defendant who becomes a counterclaimant, cross-claimant, or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court for the purpose of this provision.

d. Disclosure of Expert Testimony

All expert discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before **October 1, 2008**. For the party who has the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is due on or before **June 27, 2008**. The supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another party is due on or before **August 1, 2008**. Along with the submissions of the expert reports, the parties shall advise of the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition.

e. To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), as

incorporated in Federal Rule of Evidence 702, it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

f. Discovery Disputes

Should counsel find they are unable to resolve a discovery dispute, the party seeking the relief shall contact chambers at (302) 573-6173 to schedule a telephone conference. Not less than forty-eight hours prior to the conference, the party seeking relief shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. (The Court does not seek extensive argument or authorities at this point; it seeks simply a statement of the issue to be addressed and a summary of the basis for the party's position on the issue.) Not less than twenty-four hours prior to the conference, any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining that party's reasons for its opposition. **Should any document(s) be filed under seal, a copy of the sealed document(s) must be provided to the Magistrate Judge within one (1) hour of e-filing the document(s).** Should the Court find further briefing necessary upon conclusion of the telephone conference, the Court will order it. Disputes over protective orders are to be addressed in the first instance in accordance with this paragraph.

4. Application to Court for Protective Order

Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court within ten days from the date of this Order. Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order, the counsel must first follow the provisions of Paragraph 3f above.

Any proposed order should include the following paragraph:

Other Proceedings. By entering this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated "confidential" [the parties should list any other level of designation, such as "highly confidential," which may be provided for in the protective order] pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

5. Papers Filed Under Seal

When filing papers under seal, counsel should deliver to the Clerk an original and one copy of the papers.

6. ADR Process

As required by Rule 26, the parties met and conferred regarding settlement on August 27, 2007. The parties indicated their willingness to discuss ADR or any proposals for informal resolution. On September 6, 2007, Imagine proposed that the parties agree to mediation in San Diego or Silicon Valley.

7. Interim Status Report

On **February 29, 2008**, counsel shall submit a letter to the Court with an interim report on the nature of the matters in issue and the progress of discovery to date.

8. Status Conference

On **March 5, 2008**, the Court will hold a Rule 16(a), (b) and (c) conference by telephone with counsel beginning at _____. Plaintiff's counsel shall initiate the telephone call. At the time of this conference, counsel shall also be prepared to discuss the progress, if any, of settlement discussions and shall be prepared to discuss the possibility of setting up a settlement conference with the Court, counsel and their clients.

If all parties agree that there is nothing to report, nor anything to add to the interim status report or to this order, they shall notify the Court in writing before the conference is scheduled to occur, and the conference will be taken off of the Court's calendar.

9. Tutorial Describing the Technology and Matters in Issue

The parties shall provide the Court by [BigBand proposes: **August 31, 2008**; Imagine proposes: **March 4, 2008**], a tutorial on the technology at issue. In that regard, each party may submit a videotape/CD of not more than 30 minutes. The parties may choose to present the tutorial in person. In either event, the tutorial should focus on the technology in issue and should not be used to argue the parties' claims construction contentions. If the parties choose to file videotapes/CDs, they should be filed under seal as part of the Court's file, subject to any protective order in effect. Each party may comment, in writing (in no more than 5 pages) on the opposing party's videotape/CD tutorial. Any such comment shall be filed within ten (10) days of submission of the videotapes/CDs. As to the format selected, the parties should confirm the Court's technical abilities to access the information contained in the tutorial.

10. Case Dispositive Motions

All case dispositive motions, an opening brief, and affidavits, if any, in support of the motion shall be served and filed on or before **November 7, 2008**. Briefing will be presented pursuant to the Court's Local Rules.

11. Claim Construction Issue Identification

[BigBand's Position on Claim Construction]: BigBand does not believe that there is any reason to depart from this Court's normal procedures, as Imagine requests, or that an early claim construction hearing would be efficient. BigBand believes that a single hearing on claim construction and summary judgment following fact and expert discovery is appropriate.

BigBand will not respond to Imagine's other comments below other than to say that BigBand believes it has a solid infringement case.]

[Imagine's Position on Claim Construction]: Imagine believes that a limited earlier claim construction would be helpful in resolving the case. Imagine is an early stage, privately-held company with no public sales of its product and no publicly-available documentation of its product. BigBand can not have any detailed understanding of Imagine's products, and it has missed the mark entirely with this patent infringement suit. Construction of three or four key limitations from the three BigBand patents will be sufficient to show that Imagine can not infringe any claim and lead to an early resolution of this case.]

If the Court does not find that a limited earlier claim construction would be helpful in resolving the case, on **[BigBand proposes: May 9, 2008; Imagine proposes: January 18, 2008]**, the parties shall exchange a list of those claim term(s)/phrase(s) that they believe need construction and their proposed claim construction of those term(s)/phrase(s). This document will not be filed with the Court. Subsequent to exchanging that list, the parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Chart to be submitted on **[BigBand proposes: June 6, 2008; Imagine proposes: February 22, 2008]**. The parties Joint Claim Construction Chart should identify for the Court the term(s)/phrase(s) of the claim(s) in issue, and should include each party's proposed construction of the disputed claim language with citation(s) only to the intrinsic evidence in support of their respective proposed constructions. A copy of the patent(s) in issue as well as those portions of the intrinsic record relied upon shall be submitted with this Joint Claim Construction Chart. In this joint submission, the parties shall not provide argument.

12. Claim Construction

The parties shall contemporaneously submit initial briefs on claim construction issues on [BigBand proposes: November 7, 2008; Imagine proposes: March 21, 2008]. The parties' answering/responsive briefs shall be contemporaneously submitted on [BigBand proposes: December 19, 2008; Imagine proposes: April 18, 2008]. No reply briefs or supplemental papers on claim construction shall be submitted without leave of the Court. Local Rule 7.1.3(4) shall control the page limitation for initial (opening) and responsive (answering) briefs.

13. Hearing on Claim Construction

Beginning at _____ .m. on [BigBand proposes: January 16, 2009; Imagine proposes: May 20, 2008], the Court will hear evidence and argument on claim construction and summary judgment.

14. Applications by Motion

Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion filed with the Clerk. Unless otherwise requested by the Court, counsel shall not deliver copies of papers or correspondence to Chambers. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.

15. Pretrial Conference

On March 25, 2009, the Court will hold a Final Pretrial Conference in Chambers with counsel beginning at _____ .m. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties should assume that filing the pretrial order satisfies the pretrial disclosure requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). The parties shall file with the Court the joint proposed final pretrial order with the information required by the form of Final Pretrial Order which accompanies this Scheduling Order on or before March 18, 2009.

16. Motions in Limine

Motions *in limine* shall not be separately filed. All *in limine* requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each party shall be limited to five *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. The *in limine* request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon; each *in limine* request may be supported by a maximum of three pages of argument and may be opposed by a maximum of three pages of argument. If more than one party is supporting or opposing an *in limine* request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single three (3) page submission, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. No separate briefing shall be submitted on *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court.

17. Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms

Where a case is to be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47 and 51 the parties should file proposed voir dire, instructions to the jury, and special verdict forms and jury interrogatories three full business days before the final pretrial conference. That submission shall be accompanied by a computer diskette (in WordPerfect format) which contains the instructions, proposed voir dire, special verdict forms, and jury interrogatories.

18. Trial

This matter is scheduled for a **10 day** jury trial beginning at 9:00 a.m. on **April 6, 2009** with the subsequent trial days beginning at 9:00 a.m. For the purpose of completing pretrial preparations, counsel should plan on each side being allocated an equal number of hours to present their case.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE